

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

RONALD J. ALLISON,

Plaintiff,

v.

MIKE VANLUVEN,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00994-RFB-NJK

**ORDER**

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 4] of the Honorable Nancy J. Koppe, United States Magistrate Judge, entered August 1, 2022.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by August 15, 2022. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

...

1           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 4] is  
2 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is DISMISSED without prejudice.

4           The Court Clerk is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff.

5  
6           DATED: September 2, 2022.



---

7  
8           **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
9           **United States District Judge**